REGIMED
FEDERAL ELECTION
COMMISSION
September 15, 2010 SECRETARIMITIR #

RECEIVED FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

COPYP 17 AM11: 23

Christopher Hughen, Fenge A 8: 3b Acting General Counsel Federal Election Commission 999 E Street, NW Washington, DC 20463

SENSITIVE

OFFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL

Re: Jerry McNerney, McNerney for Congress, Pandell Law Firm, Inc. and Jerome C. Pandell

Dear Mr. Hughey:

Pursuant to 2 USC § 437g(a)(1) and 11 CFR § 111.4, please accept this letter as a Complaint against Jerry McNerney ("McNerney"), McNerney for Congress ("the Committee"), Pandell Law Firm Incorporated ("Firm") and Jerome C. Pandell ("Pandell") for operating in violation of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"), and Federal Election Commission ("FEC" or "Commission") regulations, and more specifically, for violation of the prohibited corporate contribution provisions set forth in 11 CFR I14.2 (a) and (d).

# I. Facts

McNerney for Congress is the principal campaign committee of Jerry McNerney, a Member of Congress and a candidate for the United States House of Representatives, campaigning to represent California's 11th Congressional District. The Committee's most recent Form 1 Statement of Organization was filed with the FEC on November 30, 2007. McNerney filed his most recent Form 2 Statement of Candidacy on November 25, 2008.

On September 13, 2010, Jerome C. Pandell wrote a letter on behalf of the Committee to a television station in Sacramento, California. According to that letter, Pandell and the Firm serve as "volunteer legal counsel to the McNerney for Congress campaign." The letter goes on to request that a broadcasting station stop airing a television advertisement that targets McNerney. See Exhibit 1. The California Secretary of State's website lists Pandell Law Firm, Inc. as a corporation insurporated in the state of California. See Exhibit 2.

# II. Relevant Law

Federal Election Commission regulations strictly prohibit corporations "from making a contribution...in connection with any election to any political office, including local State and Federal offices." 11 CFR 114.2(a).

The FEC regulations similarly prohibit candidates and political committees from "knowingly accepting or receiving" illegal corporate contributions. 11 C.F.R. 114.2(d).

The Act defines "contribution" in two ways. First, "contribution" includes "any gift, subscription, loan, advance, or deposit of munor or anything of value made by any pen un for the purpose of influencing any circuion for Federal office." 2 U.S.C. 431(8)(A)(i). Senenti, "contribution" includes the "anyment by any person of compensation for the personal services of another person which are rendered to a political committee without charge for any purpose." 2 U.S.C. 431(8)(A)(ii), 11 CFR 100.54.

The FEC regulations do provide that legal or accounting services rendered to or on behalf of an authorized committee of a candidate are not contributions if the person paying for the services is the regular employer of the individual rendering the services and if the services are solely to ensure compliance with the Act. 11 CFR 100.86.

Regarding services other than these remitters solely to ensure compliance with the Act, FEC regulations state that the value of the services will not be considered a contribution to the recipient campaign or committee as long as the services are not offered by an individual who is being compensated. See 11 CFR 100.74. The Campaign Guide for Congressional Candidates ("Guide") elaborates on this point, stating "if volunteers are, in fact, paid for their services, the activity is no longer considered volunteer activity, and the payments, if made by someone other than the campaign itself, result in in-kind contributions, which must be reported by the campaign." Campaign Guide for Congressional Candidates and Congressional (April 2003), at 37 (emphasis studed).

No diabumments to the Firm appear on the Committee's FEC Form 3 Statements of Receipts and Disjunctions.

# III. Legal Analysis

The Firm is a professional corporation that is incorporated under the laws of the state of California. Contributions from corporations are expressly prohibited by the Act and FEC regulations.

On September 13, 2010, Pantiell wrote a Ritter on behalf of the Committee, stating Panciell and the Fions "are volunteer legal toomai to the McNamus for Congants campaign." The letter goes on to ask the broadcast station to stop airing a political advertisement that targets McNerney and which Pandell claims is false.

While the intimidating tone of the Pandell letter conveys at least a tacit threat to a broadcast station, he concludes by citing four inapplicable and ancient cases going back to 1978, 1973, 1961 and 1950, not a single one of which relates to the Act or compliance with fine Act. Quite simply, this is because the Act does not cover the veracity of content in political advertisements, nor does the Act have any bearing on broadcast stations and which ads they do and do not obscure to air. The letter, and Mr. Pandell's time spent drafting the letter, is not reduced in any way to the Act or to occupiement with the Act.

Presumably, Pandell is being compensated by the Firm for the services he provides as an attorney employed there. Since Pandell and the Firm were not providing legal services related to the Act or to compliance with the Act and since the services of Pandell and the Firm were volunteered to McNemby and the Committee, it follows that these legal services are in-kind contributions from the Firm to McNemby and the Committee under the Act and FRC regulations.

As a corporation, the Firm is absolutely prohibited from contributing to the Committee. Pandell and the Pandell Firm made and McNerney and the Committee accepted a prohibited corporate contribution. This contribution is in direct violation of the Act and FEC regulations.

# IV. Conclusion

Upon information and belief, and based upon the facts relayed herein, Jerry McNerney, McNerney for Congress, The Pandell Law Firm, Inc., and Jerome C. Pandell have violated the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, and Federal Election Commission Regulations. Accordingly, we respectfully request that the Commission conduct an immediate investigation into the violations outlined above and impose the maximum penalty under law.

The foregoing is correct and accurate to the best of my knewledge, information and belief.

Respectfully automitted,

DONALD I NELSON

Signed and sworn to before me this 15th day of September, 2010.

Notary Public

My Commission Expires: Nov 24, 2012

RSVP: Donald L. Nelson

Danville, CA 94506-6160



# Exhibit 2



**Business Programs** 

### Business Extitles (BE)

## Online Services

- Susiness Se Dischesse

Service Outle

Filing Tips

Service of Process

## **Business Search - Results**

. .

Data is updated weekly and is current as of Friday, September 10, 2010. It is not a complete or certified record of the entity.

- Select an entity name below to view additional information. Results are listed alphabetically in ascending order by entity name.
- For information on checking or reserving a name, refer to Name
- Availability.

  For information on ordering certificates, copies of documents and/or status reports w to request a mere elemative search, refer to <u>Information Requests</u>.

  For help with exercising an emitty name, refer to <u>Search Tipe</u>.

  For descriptions of the various Salds and status types, refer ts
- Field Descriptions and Sighes Definitions.

Results of searth for " PANDELL " returned I entity record.

C2072399	06/17/1998	ACTIVE	PANDELL LAW FIRM, INC.	JANE CURRAN PANDELL

Modify Search New Search

Privacy Statement | Free Document Renders Copyright @ 2010 California Secretary of State